UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
V. Michael Alan Nuyen Defendant		Case No. 1:19-cr-00057-RJJ		
		Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
		Findings of Fact		
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of e that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:		
	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:		
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firear a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon		
(2)		-		
(2)	(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, stat or local offense.			
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for th offense described in finding (1).			
(4)) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alterna	tive Findings (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e			
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition and the safety of the community.		
/ (4)		tive Findings (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	• •		
(2)		nger the safety of another person or the community.		
1.		of the Reasons for Detention		
	a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing		
	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to c	contest detention at this time.		
2. Defer	dant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	n to the court's attention should his circumstances change.		
	Part III – Directio	ons Regarding Detention		

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 28, 2019	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge